Filed: 8/28/2026 2:22 AM
Carin Carroll
District Clerk
Titus County, Texas
Debbie Swindler

CAUSE NO. 44437

KYLE DOUGLAS MORING, *Petitioner,*	§ §	IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF
v.	§	TITUS COUNTY, TEXAS
CITY OF MOUNT PLEASANT, TEXAS, *Respondent.*	§ §	76th JUDICIAL DISTRICT

PETITIONER'S SIXTH SUPPLEMENT TO PRETRIAL WITNESS AND EXHIBIT LISTS
(PROPOSED EXHIBITS AB AND AC; SUPPLEMENTAL IDENTIFICATION OF PHYSICAL AND DIGITAL PRODUCTION EVIDENCE)

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

Petitioner Kyle Douglas Moring, appearing pro se, files this Sixth Supplement promptly after receiving Respondent's August 27, 2026 response and before the August 28 continued setting. Petitioner supplements his exhibit designations to add proposed Exhibit AB, a five-page City-produced PNG metadata and source-verification aid, and proposed Exhibit AC, a three-page SharePoint link-status and identity-reconciliation aid. Petitioner also identifies the original production media and associated City/MPPD transaction records he intends to bring and, if necessary, offer. All prior witness and exhibit designations remain unchanged. No separate hearing or continuance is requested; Petitioner seeks only item-specific rulings at the setting already before the Court.

I. PURPOSE AND LIMITS

1. This filing does not ask the Court to declare every file stored on a USB or CD admissible for the truth of every statement contained in it, nor does it treat proposed Exhibits AB and AC as self-authenticating forensic opinions. The physical media and transaction records are offered principally to establish what production was delivered, when, by what medium, and with what reflected scope. AB and AC are offered initially as concise technical (AB) and organizational or demonstrative (AC) aids tied to identified underlying City-produced records, subject to foundation, objection, and the Court's ruling.

2. This supplemental identification does not withdraw, waive, replace, or narrow any count, request, exhibit, argument, or relief preserved in Petitioner's pleadings, prior exhibit lists, hearing materials, or supplemental filings. It concerns only the evidentiary handling of the Item 1 production chronology and the Item 5 link-status and identity issue placed in dispute by Respondent's August 27 response.

II. AUGUST 27 PRODUCTION-CHRONOLOGY AND LINK-STATUS DISPUTES

3. Respondent's August 27 response states that the exact C-2 text-message screenshots and C-3 call-log screenshots were previously provided on October 23, 2025 "via CD," November 2, 2025, February 2, 2026, June 2, 2026, and August 26, 2026, and states that the "same applies" to both sets. Respondent also revised its status table to say responsive documents were provided "November 2-13, 2025."

4. For Item 5 / Exhibit I, Respondent's August 27 letter supplies a 'current working link' and states that its contents are identical to the May 21 production. Filed Exhibit Y already preserves representative May 21-link failure captures from May 28, June 10, and August 25. Proposed Exhibit AC identifies fifteen preserved tests of the exact May 21 hyperlink reporting Microsoft's 'This link has been removed' message from May 28 through August 26, and shows that the August 27 link uses a different opaque SharePoint sharing token. AC does not claim that the underlying folder or records were deleted, altered, or recreated; it presents the narrow status and identity question for reconciliation.

5. The original media and contemporaneous transaction records support a materially different chronology: **(a)** an October 23 USB for Axon videos, accompanied by three paper-record pages; **(b)** a separate November 3 CD containing five radio WAV files and three C-2 text-message PNG files; and **(c)** no C-3 call-log screenshots on either 2025 medium. The exact C-2 PNG files are dated October 27, 2025, after the October 23 pickup. The exact C-3 files, IMG_0486.PNG and IMG_0487.PNG, contain embedded date fields reporting May 11, 2026 at 3:33:09 p.m. and 3:33:21 p.m. Their first verified City production is the June 2, 2026 OAG-complaint production. Petitioner does not contend that those fields alone prove when the underlying call history first existed. Those embedded fields, the filename sequence, and the June 2 production provenance do not corroborate, and are facially inconsistent with, Respondent's assertion that these exact produced PNG files were delivered in October or November 2025 or February 2026.

6. The media therefore bears directly on the accuracy of Respondent's Item 1 account and on whether Exhibit C may be treated as reconciled and closed. Petitioner does not represent that the media, standing alone, proves that additional responsive information presently exists.

III. ORIGINAL MEDIA AND ASSOCIATED RECORDS TO BE PRESENTED

A. October 23, 2025 USB – direct Item 1 chronology evidence. The original MPPD USB received for case 25-17553; April Nipp's October 23 pickup/cost notice; City/MPPD receipt No. 881789 for $5.30; the City-provided "Evidence Table of Contents"; and a limited directory inventory of the preserved production. The notice allocates $0.20 to a two-page call-for-service record, $0.10 to a one-page

criminal-trespass warning, and $5.00 to a USB containing in-car and body-worn-camera footage. The table of contents identifies five Axon videos. The preserved production contains no C-2 or C-3 PNG files. This item is offered to show the October 23 medium, transaction, and delivered scope—not the truth of every statement in the videos or reports.

B. November 3, 2025 CD – direct Item 1 chronology evidence. The original MPPD CD received for case 25-17553; Nipp's November 3 transmittal/pickup notice; City/MPPD receipt No. 303675 for $1.00; and a limited directory inventory. The preserved CD archive contains exactly five WAV radio files and IMG_0243.PNG, IMG_0244.PNG, and IMG_0245.PNG. It contains no IMG_0486.PNG or IMG_0487.PNG. This item is offered to establish the November 3 delivery, distinguish it from the October 23 USB, and show that the CD carried C-2 but not C-3.

C. December 3, 2025 CD – comparison control only. The original separate MPPD CD concerning case [redacted]; Nipp's December 3 pickup notice; City receipt No. 186688 for $1.00; and the preserved directory of ten report-log JPG files. Petitioner does not offer this CD as responsive to Exhibit C. It is identified only as a separately dated physical-production control if Respondent disputes the identity of the media, the City's receipt-and-pickup practice, or attempts to combine distinct pickups.

D. Proposed Exhibit AB – June 2, 2026 C-3 digital control and metadata aid. The attached five-page Exhibit AB identifies the preserved June 2 OAG-complaint production ZIP and exact IMG_0486.PNG and IMG_0487.PNG members, reports their embedded May 11 date fields, supplies exact-file hashes, and states the method and limitations. AB is not physical pickup media and is separated so the Court can test Respondent's 'same applies' chronology without conflating it with the October 23 USB or November 3 CD.

E. Proposed Exhibit AC – SharePoint link-status and identity-reconciliation aid. The attached three-page Exhibit AC cross-references filed Exhibit Y and the City's August 27 response, lists the preserved exact-link failure chronology, and compares privacy-preserving SHA-256 fingerprints of the old and new opaque sharing tokens. The full URLs and access parameters are retained but are not reproduced in the public exhibit. AC does not prove who changed a link, when it was changed, why it changed, or whether folder contents changed.

F. Contemporaneous pickup recordings – reserved foundation evidence. Petitioner retains contemporaneous audio recordings he made of the October 23 and November 3 physical pickups. Petitioner will not initially offer or play them if Respondent stipulates to the pickup dates, media, and associated receipts. If those matters are disputed, Petitioner requests leave to authenticate and offer the relevant complete portions, subject to any completeness objection and the Court's direction.

IV. FOUNDATION AND LIMITED BASIS FOR ADMISSION

7. **Relevance.** For Item 1, the disputed facts include the dates, media, and reflected contents of Respondent's productions. For Item 5, the disputed facts include the documented status and identity of the May 21 and August 27 sharing links and the basis for Respondent's present reconciliation. The identified items and narrow comparison aids make those facts more or less probable and are relevant under Texas Rules of Evidence 401 and 402. Their limited proposed use avoids needless presentation of unrelated contents and permits the Court to apply Rule 403 item by item.

8. **Authentication.** Petitioner will testify from personal knowledge that he personally picked up and paid for each identified physical medium, received the associated receipt and notice, recognizes the medium and its distinctive characteristics, retained it in his custody, and preserved a corresponding archive. That testimony, the City/MPPD notices and receipts, media labels, City-provided table of contents, filenames, and directory structure provide authentication paths under Rule 901(a) and Rule 901(b)(1), (4), and, where applicable, (9). For AB, Petitioner will identify the City-produced ZIP and exact PNG members and explain the read-only extraction, hashing method, and stated limitations. For AC, Petitioner will testify that he received and retained the May 21 link, used that exact link on the listed dates, preserved the resulting pages, and that the captures fairly and accurately show what the interface displayed. Threshold authentication does not resolve any separate hearsay, relevance, or Rule 403 objection. Petitioner will not claim an absolute forensic chain or an unverified technical process.

9. **Originals and accurate duplicates.** Petitioner will bring the original USB, CDs, receipts, and available notices. Accurate copies and readable outputs may be used as permitted by Rules 1001, 1002, and 1003, subject to any genuine authenticity or fairness issue. The media is offered as the physical object delivered; its presence does not automatically make every stored file an original of the City's source-system data.

10. **Statements and transaction effect.** The notices, receipts, labels, and table of contents were issued or supplied by City/MPPD personnel in connection with the transactions Respondent now places at issue and are offered against Respondent. They are also offered to show the fact and terms of delivery, not to establish the truth of every nested statement on the media. Petitioner requests an item-specific ruling under Rule 801(e)(2) and any applicable exception rather than a categorical ruling on all embedded material.

V. PROMPT IDENTIFICATION; NO UNFAIR SURPRISE

11. Petitioner makes this identification immediately after Respondent's August 27 letter supplied the challenged Item 1 chronology and the new Item 5 sharing link. Respondent created, issued, or transmitted the underlying media, records, letter, and links and relies on those same materials. Petitioner

submits that Respondent cannot fairly claim unfair surprise or unfair prejudice as to its own sources; Exhibits AB and AC promptly disclose Petitioner's comparisons, methods, and limits. To the extent Texas Rules of Civil Procedure 193.5 and 193.6 apply, Petitioner requests an on-the-record finding under Rule 193.6(b) of either **(1)** good cause or **(2)** that the supplementation will not unfairly surprise or unfairly prejudice Respondent.


VI. HANDLING OF ORIGINAL MEDIA AND REQUESTED RELIEF

12. Petitioner has not attached raw USB/CD contents because the media may contain sensitive or nonresponsive information and court-network connection is unnecessary. Petitioner will bring the originals in labeled containers and can use a standalone offline computer and external reader under the Court's control. Proposed Exhibits AB and AC are attached; their underlying exact digital sources, unredacted links, access parameters, metadata, and hashes are preserved and can be tendered securely or in camera if the Court directs. Petitioner does not ask court staff to connect media to a court network or publish an active SharePoint bearer link.

13. Petitioner respectfully requests that the Court: **(a)** permit the identified originals or accurate duplicates to be marked and offered; **(b)** after foundation and any item-specific objection, admit the physical media and associated City/MPPD transaction records for the narrow chronology purpose stated; **(c)** mark and consider proposed Exhibits AB and AC subject to foundation and ruling, or permit their use as demonstrative aids tied to the underlying sources; **(d)** if Rule 193.6 applies, make either or both of the requested alternative findings; **(e)** alternatively, mark the items for identification, conduct any preliminary admissibility examination under Rule 104, and, if an item is excluded, permit Petitioner to make an offer of proof under Rule 103(a)(2); and **(f)** enter appropriate handling directions for sensitive information and sole originals.

PRAYER


Petitioner respectfully asks the Court to accept this Sixth Supplement, permit proposed Exhibits AB and AC to be marked and offered subject to foundation and ruling, grant the limited relief stated above, and make any necessary item-specific evidentiary rulings at the August 28 continued hearing. No separate hearing, continuance, or advance blanket admissibility ruling is requested.



Kyle Douglas Moring
Petitioner, Pro Se
[redacted]

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on August 28, 2026, a true and correct copy of this Petitioner's Sixth Supplement to Pretrial Witness and Exhibit Lists, together with proposed Exhibits AB and AC, was served electronically through the electronic filing manager on all counsel and service contacts of record for Respondent City of Mount Pleasant, Texas, in accordance with Texas Rules of Civil Procedure 21 and 21a.



Kyle Douglas Moring
Petitioner, Pro Se
[redacted]

CAUSE NO. 44437

KYLE DOUGLAS MORING,	§	**IN THE DISTRICT COURT**
Petitioner,	§	
v.	§	**TITUS COUNTY, TEXAS**
CITY OF MOUNT PLEASANT, TEXAS,	§	
Respondent.	§	**76TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

PETITIONER'S PROPOSED EXHIBIT AB

CITY-PRODUCED PNG METADATA AND SOURCE VERIFICATION

C-3 call-log screenshots | IMG_0486.PNG and IMG_0487.PNG

Limited purpose

This exhibit identifies the two PNG files contained in the production archive titled "2026-06-02 - City of Mount Pleasant - OAG Production Bundle (OAG Complaints).zip," records the files' embedded EXIF and XMP date fields, and displays the exact PNG members for comparison. The exhibit distinguishes the date shown inside each call-log entry from the date fields embedded in the PNG file itself.

Key finding. IMG_0486.PNG contains EXIF and XMP date fields of May 11, 2026 at 3:33:09 p.m.; IMG_0487.PNG contains corresponding fields of May 11, 2026 at 3:33:21 p.m. The displayed phone clock reads 3:33 in both images. The embedded times are twelve seconds apart.

Three dates that must not be conflated

1. Call-event date shown on screen: October 22, 2025 (the underlying outgoing-call entries).

2. Embedded PNG date fields: May 11, 2026 at 3:33:09 p.m. and 3:33:21 p.m. (no time-zone offset stored).

3. Production-package date: The source archive is identified in Petitioner's records as the City's June 2, 2026 OAG-complaint production.

Chronological comparison: May 11, 2026 is 189 days after November 3, 2025. The embedded fields therefore do not corroborate an assertion that these exact PNG files were produced on November 3, 2025; that comparison remains subject to the metadata limitations stated in this exhibit.

Method note: The source PNG members were extracted byte-for-byte from the ZIP. The files were not opened in an editor, resaved, annotated, or assigned new metadata before hashing and extraction.

Source and verification record

Source archive	2026-06-02 - City of Mount Pleasant - OAG Production Bundle (OAG Complaints).zip
Archive SHA-256	`869a313d9b98404646a37ff3c626caf216f6c445417d90be2316f2e86485cad8`
Archive status	ZIP integrity test passed; both PNG members are stored without compression.
Extraction check	Each extracted PNG is byte-identical to its corresponding ZIP member.
Read-only method	Python 3.12; Pillow 12.3.0; direct PNG-chunk CRC validation; SHA-256 via Python hashlib.

File-by-file comparison

Field	IMG_0486.PNG	IMG_0487.PNG
Visible phone clock	3:33	3:33
Visible call entry	John Beasley; outgoing; 10/22/25 8:51 AM; 1 minute	Carrie Mahon; outgoing; 10/22/25 8:48 AM; 2 minutes
EXIF DateTime	2026:05:11 15:33:09	2026:05:11 15:33:21
EXIF DateTimeOriginal	2026:05:11 15:33:09	2026:05:11 15:33:21
XMP DateCreated	2026-05-11T15:33:09	2026-05-11T15:33:21
XMP ModifyDate	2026-05-11T15:33:09	2026-05-11T15:33:21
Description / comment	Screenshot / Screenshot	Screenshot / Screenshot
Dimensions / format	1179 x 2556; 16-bit RGB PNG	1179 x 2556; 16-bit RGB PNG
ZIP CRC-32 / bytes	2C13F700 / 5,387,323	E0DBA746 / 5,378,605

SHA-256 hashes of exact PNG members

```
IMG_0486.PNG: 137d00ee08982712ba5ca527e9aee9b6eca1644ce9ada4b06eae4fadba132d7c
IMG_0487.PNG: 2d4076be81fd7cbbae923d43ab234f3c80f7359e9f176c412d115e7a487022f6
```

Interpretive limit. The metadata fields are internally consistent, but they contain no time-zone offset, device Make/Model, GPS data, or cryptographic signature. Metadata alone does not identify who operated the device. The source and chain of custody remain matters for testimony and the City's production record.

Exact source image: IMG_0486.PNG

John Beasley call entry; displayed phone clock 3:33; outgoing call dated October 22, 2025 at 8:51 a.m.



Exact member SHA-256: 137d00ee08982712ba5ca527e9aee9b6eca1644ce9ada4b06eae4fadba132d7c

The image above is displayed without crop or annotation. The embedded display copy in this exhibit is not a substitute for the exact source PNG identified by the hash.

Exact source image: IMG_0487.PNG

Carrie Mahon call entry; displayed phone clock 3:33; outgoing call dated October 22, 2025 at 8:48 a.m.



Exact member SHA-256: 2d4076be81fd7cbbae923d43ab234f3c80f7359e9f176c412d115e7a487022f6

The image above is displayed without crop or annotation. The embedded display copy in this exhibit is not a substitute for the exact source PNG identified by the hash.

Read-only embedded-metadata extraction report

Petitioner-generated technical aid. Source PNG files unchanged. Values below are reported from the exact ZIP members identified on AB-2.

```
IMG_0486.PNG
  FileSizeBytes             : 5387323
  SHA256                    : 137d00ee08982712ba5ca527e9aee9b6eca1644ce9ada4b06eae4fadba132d7c
  ZIPMemberCRC32            : 2C13F700
  ByteIdenticalToZIPMember  : True
  PNGChunkCRCsValid         : True
  EmbeddedEXIFChunk         : True
  EmbeddedXMPiTXtChunk      : True
  ImageWidth x ImageHeight  : 1179 x 2556
  BitDepth / ColorType      : 16 / 2 (truecolor RGB)
  EXIF:ImageDescription     : Screenshot
  EXIF:DateTime             : 2026:05:11 15:33:09
  EXIF:DateTimeOriginal     : 2026:05:11 15:33:09
  EXIF:UserComment          : Screenshot
  XMP:DateCreated           : 2026-05-11T15:33:09
  XMP:ModifyDate            : 2026-05-11T15:33:09
  XMPToolkit                : XMP Core 6.0.0
  NotPresent                : OffsetTime, OffsetTimeOriginal, OffsetTimeDigitized, SubSecTime,
Make, Model, GPSInfo, PNG tIME chunk

IMG_0487.PNG
  FileSizeBytes             : 5378605
  SHA256                    : 2d4076be81fd7cbbae923d43ab234f3c80f7359e9f176c412d115e7a487022f6
  ZIPMemberCRC32            : E0DBA746
  ByteIdenticalToZIPMember  : True
  PNGChunkCRCsValid         : True
  EmbeddedEXIFChunk         : True
  EmbeddedXMPiTXtChunk      : True
  ImageWidth x ImageHeight  : 1179 x 2556
  BitDepth / ColorType      : 16 / 2 (truecolor RGB)
  EXIF:ImageDescription     : Screenshot
  EXIF:DateTime             : 2026:05:11 15:33:21
  EXIF:DateTimeOriginal     : 2026:05:11 15:33:21
  EXIF:UserComment          : Screenshot
  XMP:DateCreated           : 2026-05-11T15:33:21
  XMP:ModifyDate            : 2026-05-11T15:33:21
  XMPToolkit                : XMP Core 6.0.0
  NotPresent                : OffsetTime, OffsetTimeOriginal, OffsetTimeDigitized, SubSecTime,
Make, Model, GPSInfo, PNG tIME chunk
```

What the report does and does not establish

Establishes from the files: the exact bytes analyzed; the embedded EXIF/XMP values; the files' visual contents; and the internal consistency of the date fields.

Requires other foundation: who created the screenshots, which device was used, who preserved or transmitted them, and when the City first possessed or produced them.

CAUSE NO. 44437

KYLE DOUGLAS MORING,	§	**IN THE DISTRICT COURT**
Petitioner,	§	
v.	§	**TITUS COUNTY, TEXAS**
CITY OF MOUNT PLEASANT, TEXAS,	§	
Respondent.	§	**76TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

PETITIONER'S PROPOSED EXHIBIT AC

SHAREPOINT LINK-STATUS AND IDENTITY RECONCILIATION

Item 5 / Exhibit I | May 21 and August 27 regulatory-agency links

Limited purpose

This exhibit reconciles the sharing URL transmitted by the City on May 21, 2026 with the distinct sharing URL provided in Respondent's August 27, 2026 response. It summarizes preserved status captures, cross-references materials already filed or separately tendered, and uses one-way fingerprints so the active access parameters need not be published. It is a Petitioner-generated organizational or demonstrative aid—not a City record or independent forensic opinion—and is offered subject to foundation and the Court's ruling.

Narrow result. Fifteen documented observations of the sharing URL embedded in the City's May 21 transmittal, spanning May 28 through August 26, displayed Microsoft's message, "This link has been removed." Respondent's August 27 response supplied a distinct embedded sharing URL under the same display label and represented that the later link was functional and contained the same documents. The later link's reported functionality does not establish that the May 21 URL remained functional.

Source cross-reference

May 21 transmittal	City production email sent May 21, 2026 at 4:17 p.m.: "Here is a link to more emails." The exact transmitted hyperlink is retained.
Filed Exhibit Y	Y-026 through Y-033 preserve representative link-status captures, including the May 21 link on May 28, June 10, and August 25.
Proposed Exhibit AA	Respondent's August 27 response, page 4, supplies a "current working link" and states that its contents are identical to the May 21 production. AA is separately identified/tendered and is not duplicated here.
Complete source set	All fifteen status captures, their displayed timestamps and correlation IDs, and the exact old and new links are retained. Active access parameters are omitted from this public aid.

What AC does not establish

AC does not establish who changed or issued either sharing link; when or why any link status changed; whether the underlying folder or records were deleted, recreated, added, removed, or altered; or whether the two links resolved to identical contents at every relevant time. Those matters require other foundation or records.

Exact May 21 hyperlink: preserved status-observation chronology

Each capture below reflects a test of the exact hyperlink transmitted by the City on May 21, 2026. Each page displayed the same Microsoft result: "This link has been removed." The time zone is not labeled on the Microsoft page. Correlation IDs are copied as displayed and permit each capture to be distinguished. Filed examples appear at Y-028 (May 28), Y-029 (June 10), and Y-031 (August 25).

Date shown	Time shown	Microsoft correlation ID
May 28, 2026	9:13:51 a.m.	`9e1818a2-20aa-7000-2292-69a28753b0fd`
May 29, 2026	4:51:50 a.m.	`065c18a2-4060-7000-2292-61479629af28`
May 30, 2026	7:33:19 a.m.	`a9b718a2-d084-7000-2624-d7032c2b8f00`
May 31, 2026	8:51:31 a.m.	`880e19a2-30c9-7000-2624-de578c8bf750`
June 1, 2026	7:42:45 p.m.	`318619a2-a0e7-7000-2624-da5df2b3b98d`
June 2, 2026	8:34:35 a.m.	`5cb219a2-5010-7000-2624-d5b67bb1f114`
June 2, 2026	3:05:19 p.m.	`b7c819a2-80c8-7000-89f1-6408426688dd`
June 2, 2026	6:59:30 p.m.	`1ed619a2-f058-7000-df7d-7af8c4282a70`
June 4, 2026	10:25:40 a.m.	`825d1aa2-f0d7-7000-2624-d3a7cb18a92d`
June 6, 2026	9:03:41 p.m.	`d0261ba2-3035-7000-89f1-639432b4688d`
June 7, 2026	7:14:06 a.m.	`bd491ba2-90f1-7000-2624-d59b83564e16`
June 9, 2026	8:20:54 p.m.	`8e1b1ca2-00ef-7000-89f1-60ef2b15e270`
June 10, 2026	3:43:17 p.m.	`125e1ca2-4014-7000-89f1-64a241c42970`
August 25, 2026	5:14:09 p.m.	`7ad934a2-b037-d000-e78f-df61a2bc7418`
August 26, 2026	2:30:40 a.m.	`52f934a2-b02e-d000-cdf5-4238babb7485`

Source-handling note. The captures were preserved as PDFs bearing the Microsoft page result, page-displayed time, correlation ID, and local PDF metadata. The local print/creation timestamps are approximately two hours later than the page-displayed times; no time-zone conversion is asserted here.

Privacy-preserving link-identity comparison

The comparison below omits the live access parameters and opaque path component. A SHA-256 digest was calculated from each normalized full URL. Matching normalized URLs would yield matching digests; these do not.

Field	May 21 link	August 27 link
Transmittal	City email, May 21, 2026 at 4:17 p.m.	Respondent's response, August 27, 2026
Request / folder identification	May 21 regulatory-agency production transmittal	Opened a folder displaying “Regulatory Agency Correspondence (May 1, 2025–Present)”
Observed / represented status	Removed-link page on 15 documented observations, May 28–August 26	Respondent states “current working link”; present test opened the display-named folder
Normalized URL SHA-256	`6cdc35ffb4f67600 e7d357e1a9121bd9 c20e89dfbb7b9830 318114891bb63582`	`50cbfae3577f747e 7328e047635486d5 d64deae1177c8232 b6f713fe496e3724`
Digest comparison	Different	Different

Method and careful inference

Method: From each retained exact hyperlink, remove only an empty trailing fragment marker, retain the full path and access query, encode that normalized URL as UTF-8, calculate SHA-256, and compare the resulting 64-character hexadecimal digests. The full hyperlinks and access parameters remain preserved but are not reproduced here.

Supported inference: The normalized full URLs and their opaque path components differ. A newly issued or reissued sharing link is one possible explanation, but AC does not establish how or why the difference arose.

Not established: The URL difference does not establish a different folder, different contents, deletion, alteration, motive, knowledge, or the person or process responsible for the link change.

Foundation and proposed hearing use

Petitioner can testify that he received and retained the May 21 link, used that exact link for the documented tests, preserved the resulting pages, and that the captures fairly and accurately reflect what the interface displayed during those tests. The complete underlying captures and exact links are preserved and available for inspection under appropriate safeguards. Petitioner also received Respondent's August 27 response containing the later link. AC is offered to help the Court compare the link-status chronology with Respondent's present assertion of a working link and identical contents. If Respondent offers existing SharePoint audit or sharing records, Petitioner requests the ordinary opportunity to inspect the materials offered and respond. AC does not ask the Court to order creation of an audit log, query, report, or declaration as TPIA relief.

Automated Certificate of eService

This automated certificate of service was created by the efiling system. The filer served this document via email generated by the efiling system on the date and to the persons listed below. The rules governing certificates of service have not changed. Filers must still provide a certificate of service that complies with all applicable rules.

Envelope ID: 119220072
Filing Code Description: Notice
Filing Description: Petitioner's Notice of August 27 Conferral Response and Prompt Supplemental Identification of Exhibit AA
Status as of 8/28/2026 7:56 AM CST

Case Contacts

Name	BarNumber	Email	TimestampSubmitted	Status
Austin R. Beck		abeck@dtrglaw.com	8/28/2026 2:22:45 AM	SENT
Lea A. Ream		lream@dtrglaw.com	8/28/2026 2:22:45 AM	SENT
Marla Dial		mdial@dtrglaw.com	8/28/2026 2:22:45 AM	SENT