January 20-21: production and completeness inquiry

Selected excerpts from the January 20 MPPD production email and Kyle Moring's January 21 reply. This is a prepared reading copy, not a reproduction of the complete case file. Case identifiers and the parent's identity are omitted throughout.

January 20, 2026, 3:17 p.m.: April Nipp emails the production to Kyle. Source: production email, page 1.

“Attached you will find the request received via fax, the complete Offense Report”

The same response describes the complete Call for Service, report logs and investigation policies. It also states:

“[Excluded-case detail omitted] Therefore, the complete Offense Report is attached. There are no supplements for this report.”

January 21, 2026, 5:40 a.m.: Kyle replies asking for written certification of categories said not to exist. Source: certification request, page 1.

“In your response, you stated “No such records exist” for multiple requested categories. You also stated that (i) there was no communication between Detective Odom and DFPS/CPS, (ii) there were no supplements for the offense report, and (iii) there were no additional emails or contact attempts beyond what was previously provided or noted in the narrative.”

His reply requests certification or a signed written statement concerning those assertions. The January 22 supplement described on page 3 did not yet exist on January 20; its later creation alone does not contradict the earlier no-supplements statement.

January 20: selected Nipp-Odom telephone rows

Source: City of Mt. Pleasant - Call Logs from Nov 5th 2025.xlsx; worksheet User Phones - Inbnd,Outbnd,Miss. The source prints CST. Each timestamp below appears twice, as incoming and outgoing records. Five timestamp groups are shown; ten rows are not ten established conversations.

Time (CST)	Exported number direction	Duration	Source rows
2:27:22 p.m.	Nipp line to Odom line	0:04	31040-31041
2:47:03 p.m.	Odom line to Nipp line	1:44	20187-20188
2:49:20 p.m.	Odom line to Nipp line	0:06	20189-20190
2:49:33 p.m.	Odom line to Nipp line	0:04	20191-20192
2:51:12 p.m.	Odom line to Nipp line	0:39	20193-20194

The Nipp line is 903-380-7164; the Odom line is 903-380-7186. These are exported line labels, not proof of who spoke. The durations do not independently establish whether someone answered or what was discussed.

These five timestamp groups precede Nipp's 3:17 p.m. production email and Kyle's January 21 follow-up. No matching Nipp-Odom number pair was found on January 21 in this workbook. This does not exclude other contact methods or lines.

January 22: signed form, supplement and a DA-number match

Police supplement: the later six-page offense report supplied in the April DA production adds a supplement on PDF page 6. It prints “Created on: 01/22/2026 10:37” and states:

“On January 22, 2026, [the parent] arrived at CID and signed a Case Dismissal form.”

The bracketed role replaces the name. The supplement establishes the reported date of signing; its creation time is not an independently verified signing time. The January production report contains five pages and does not contain this later supplement.

Signed form: the one-page MPPD “Dismissal of Complainant” requests dismissal, no further police action, and no intent to file charges. Its printed March 30, 2025 incident date is not a signature date. The form is a complainant request, not a court dismissal order.

Separate court listing: page 2 of the April 14 saved listing shows “DOCKET 01/22/2026 09:00.” This establishes a scheduled court date for the parent; it does not independently establish attendance or a meeting with the DA. No name, case number or charge from that separate matter is reproduced here.

Call-log field	Source value
Start Time	2026-01-22 11:24:56 AM CST
From Number	903-380-7185 (CID number)
To Number	903-577-6726 (DA number)
Call Type / Duration	Outgoing / 00:01:11
Source row	5326; User Phones - Inbnd,Outbnd,Miss

Source: the same November-onward workbook. “CID number” identifies the originating police line. The row establishes a number match, not the caller, subject or a link to the signed form.

February 5-6: DA correspondence and a later call

February 5, 2026, 9:53 a.m.: Charles Bailey emails April Nipp about the separate investigation:

“Please send what you have on the referenced case.”

February 6, 2026, 8:30 a.m.: Nipp replies:

“Attached is the complete report and attachments. Let me know if you want the videos as well.”

Source: retained forwarded February email exchange, supplied with the April DA production. The exchange independently establishes a DA request and Nipp's response. This copy omits the case-number subject line.

Call-log field	Source value
Start Time	2026-02-06 10:53:53 AM CST
From Number / Name	903-380-7162 / Senior Officer
To Number	903-577-6726 (DA number)
Call Type / Duration	Outgoing / 00:06:04
Source row	6538; User Phones - Inbnd,Outbnd,Miss

Source: City of Mt. Pleasant - Call Logs from Nov 5th 2025.xlsx. The call is recorded later the same morning as Nipp's email. “Senior Officer” is an exported line label, not an authenticated speaker. No record reviewed establishes that this call concerned the case, the dismissal form or the attachments. It is not a January 22 call.

These records support a dated comparison of production, follow-up, later documentation and agency contact. They do not establish coordinated pressure, retaliation or the contents of an unrecorded conversation.