From: cbailey@co.titus.tx.us
Subject: Re: Kyle Moring Complaaint
Date: July 24, 2026 at 4:08 PM
To: Kyle Moring [redacted]
Cc: Kent Richardson Kent.Richardson@oag.texas.gov

Mr. Moring:

Today I sent what I think is what you refer to as the end of the Bailey-Nipp thread. I am not familiar with how to send you the other attachments in native form with attachments protected. If you will kindly inform me how to accomplish this task, I will be happy to send you the email as an attachment with the remaining attachments - Moring Statement and Dismissal - in native form, if that is what you are requesting. Further, if you will identify more specifically any emails you have found to be missing, I will be happy to provide those to you as well.

Thank you,

Chuck Bailey
District Attorney

From: **Kyle Moring** [redacted]
Subject: Re: Kyle Moring Complaaint
Date: July 24, 2026 at 4:57 PM
To: cbailey@co.titus.tx.us
Cc: Kent Richardson Kent.Richardson@oag.texas.gov
Bcc: Kyle Moring [redacted]

KM

Mr. Bailey,

Thank you for your response. I need to correct and preserve several points concerning the records produced and the basis of your prior assessment.

First, the July 24 native file, **"RE [redacted].eml,"** already contains all three attachments in unredacted form: **"[redacted].pdf," "Moring Statement.pdf**," and **"Case Dismissal.pdf."** Accordingly, there is no need to resend those attachments.

Second, the additional missing records are your original messages in the following five correspondence threads, identified by their Message-IDs:

October 30, 2025

"Re: Request for Intake/Referral Status – MPPD Case [redacted]"

Message-ID: <8d508e02beb3eaf08bf2332f3792a9bb@co.titus.tx.us>

November 14, 2025

"Re: Request for Case Status – [redacted]/Warrant [redacted]"

Message-ID: <9014f09ce2df1a0e31b06040189ab7f4@co.titus.tx.us>

November 24, 2025

"Re: Request for District Attorney Review – City/Walmart Store #131"

Message-ID: <4d0d438a97c714c62584a69dc46124aa@co.titus.tx.us>

December 1, 2025

"Re: Supplemental Submission – City/Texas PIA Matters"

Message-ID: <05b58d66f2aa88bad8d6829f332b60e0@co.titus.tx.us>

January 13, 2026

"Re: Supplemental Submission – City Regulatory Compliance Concerns"

Message-ID: <ebaef74549e7a8c58fdad2880400ba3c@co.titus.tx.us>

The attachment identified in the November 14 exchange as **"SKMBT_22325111415590.pdf"** also remains missing.

I also need to correct the repeated characterization of certain files as "videos." Although Ms. Nipp used that term in her February 6 email, the files I supplied to MPPD and addressed in my formal complaints to both your office and Mr. Richardson were **.m4a** audio-recording files, not videos. A representation that no "video" was received, or a search limited to videos or video formats, does not answer whether the **.m4a** audio files were received, offered, retained, reviewed, or otherwise possessed by TCDA. The distinction is material and was previously brought to both offices' attention.

Finally, the native February 6 Nipp email establishes that MPPD transmitted **"Moring Statement.pdf"** to you and that you possessed it while assessing my complaint concerning the City of Mount Pleasant. That document contains the DFPS report I personally filed, along with CPS-history information.

Your possession of that document does not, standing alone, resolve every legal question about the permissibility of the intergovernmental transmission. It does, however, directly bear on the factual basis and adequacy of your conclusion that the City was not in violation. Any complete assessment should have addressed the City's handling and transmission of that DFPS-related material, including the applicable confidentiality requirements, rather than issuing a no-violation conclusion without acknowledging the document or its contents.

Your present statements concerning the attachments, particularly describing **"Moring Statement.pdf"** as a remaining attachment when it was already embedded in the native email you produced, further reinforce my concern that the underlying materials and my complaint submissions were not reviewed in sufficient detail before that conclusion was reached.

Mr. Richardson remains copied solely so the pending complaint and revision record accurately reflects what was produced, the actual nature of the audio files, and the continuing omissions. I am not asking him to exercise discretionary consideration through this message.

Please produce your original messages identified above in their existing native electronic format, with all original metadata and attachments intact, together with the missing November 14 attachment, **"SKMBT_22325111415590.pdf,"** in the form in which it is maintained.

Respectfully,

Kyle Douglas Moring

[redacted] | [redacted]