From: Kyle Moring [redacted]
Subject: City of Mount Pleasant – mandamus update and unresolved discrepancies relevant to my revision request
Date: September 18, 2026 at 11:46 AM
To: Kent Richardson Kent.Richardson@oag.texas.gov
Bcc: Kyle Moring [redacted]

KM

Mr. Richardson,

[redacted]

[redacted] I agreed today to dismiss the mandamus proceeding based on the City's agreement to rerun one identified search. I do not agree that everything responsive has been provided. I continue to believe the City is withholding responsive records, including some of my own emails covered by several requests and counts.

I want to explain why my concerns persist, particularly where the City's statements to your office and the court can be compared directly with the underlying records.

1. Chief Buhman's call-log screenshots and the claimed production dates.

I dispute the City's and DTRG's representations in the court record that these call-log screenshots were supplied on multiple earlier dates. The City's August 27 account associated the call images with earlier productions, including dates preceding May 2026. I have retained evidence that contradicts that chronology.

On May 7, Ms. Webster told you that I "did not get screenshots." The two original image files contain embedded May 11, 2026 timestamps of 3:33:09 p.m. and 3:33:21 p.m. – twelve seconds apart. Both images also visibly display 3:33 on the phone's clock. On May 12, Webster sent you and Mr. Beck the named image files, stating, "Here are the documents from the phone." My documented receipt was through the June 2 production.

The image metadata, matching visible clock, Webster's acknowledgment to you, her subsequent transmission, and my actual receipt warrant a direct explanation of how the earlier-delivery claims can be accurate.

Your May 22 determination states that "CMP provided screenshots of the call logs." The distinction between providing them to you and providing them to me matters. The images also show individual call details rather than a complete call-history display. I am not asserting that the metadata alone proves deleted calls; I am asking that the documented chronology and completeness questions be addressed.