From: **Kent Richardson** Kent.Richardson@oag.texas.gov
Subject: RE: City of Mount Pleasant – mandamus update and unresolved discrepancies relevant to my revision request
Date: September 23, 2026 at 4:43 PM
To: Kyle Moring [redacted]

KR

Mr. Moring,

To answer your question, I don't think Mr. Beck provided any information on a request for ruling before the complaint was closed due to your filing of the mandamus action.

With respect to your request to have the determination revised, please provide all of the documents related to the mandamus action so that I can determine if any of that proceeding resolves or assists in this complaint. Also, any summary of activities since my closure might be helpful for organization purposes. After review of these materials, I can determine if it appears the complaint should be reopened for further review.

Thank you.

Kent Richardson
Deputy Division Chief
Criminal Prosecutions Division
Office of the Attorney General
P.O. Box 12548
Austin, Texas 78711-2548
kent.richardson@oag.texas.gov

From: Kyle Moring [redacted]
Sent: Friday, September 18, 2026 11:46 AM
To: Kent Richardson <Kent.Richardson@oag.texas.gov>
Subject: City of Mount Pleasant – mandamus update and unresolved discrepancies relevant to my revision request

CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL: This message originated from outside the OAG. Do not click links, open attachments, or act on requests unless you recognize the sender and can verify the request is legitimate. Report suspicious emails using the Phishing Button.

Mr. Richardson,

I originally reached out to CPD because I believed that justice would prevail once the records and facts were examined. I believed that documenting the discrepancies and following the available complaint procedures would lead to meaningful accountability. At this point, my faith in that process is effectively gone.

I am beyond exhausted. The continuing mandamus proceedings have taken a severe toll on my mental health. I do not fully understand the rules of evidence or how to present this volume of material in the form the court requires. I feel that the City and DTRG have used those difficulties to portray me as irrational for bringing the case, while specific discrepancies supported by their own records remain unresolved.

To prevent further deterioration of my mental health, I agreed today to dismiss the mandamus proceeding based on the City's agreement to rerun one identified search. I do not agree that everything responsive has been provided. I continue to believe the City is withholding responsive records, including some of my own emails